

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

| |
|---|
| As of: October 04, 2011 |
| Received: September 29, 2011 |
| Status: Pending_Post |
| Tracking No. 80f42319 |
| Comments Due: September 30, 2011 |
| Submission Type: Web |

Docket: EBSA-2010-0018

Interim Final Rules for Group Health Plans and Health Insurance Issuers Relating to Coverage of Preventive Services Under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act

Comment On: EBSA-2010-0018-0002

Group Health Plans and Health Insurance Issuers Relating to Coverage of Preventive Services under Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act: Amendment

Document: EBSA-2010-0018-DRAFT-0597

Comment on FR Doc # 2011-19684

Submitter Information

Name: Julie Ann Belk

Address:

214 Gadwall Ct.
McKinney, TX, 75070

Email: lumenstg16@yahoo.com

Organization: HSLDA, MARCH, ACS, Catholic Church, Phi Theta Kappa, NHS

General Comment

American cohesiveness, ingenuity, and strength relies on having as many degrees of freedom as possible. Businesses' culture reflects this ingenuity in the policies and procedures each company adopts and its employees support directly with contributions and indirectly by choosing to work at that company. Greenwalt, a former DuPont president, said businesses are living organisms. They have a life of their own composed of people working there that share a common philosophy. The philosophy of each living organism is a sum of all employees' contributions - ideas, service, insurance choices, and lifestyle. This proposed legislation infiltrates the life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness of individuals by violating their company's degrees of freedom in what healthcare coverage employees must contribute to no matter what the culture and religious convictions. This is un-American and DEAD WRONG. Trying to force companies to support current political aims in questionable health procedures is invasive and a violation of our basic right to pursue the life and philosophy we prefer in our culture. The government is invading individual rights to life, liberty and pursuit of happiness by invading their employer's right to choose what health procedures and coverage the sum of the employees want to pay for.